


From: Richard Iger riger@bakersfieldcity.us 
Subject: RE: Response to RR from Sarah Ryley with The Trace Media re: data on homicides and non-fatal shootings 1/01/05 thru current
Date: October 27, 2017 at 1:49 PM
To: Sarah Ryley sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com
Cc: Robin Bice rbice@bakersfieldcity.us, Sean Campbell scampbell@thetrace.org, April K. Davis akdavis@bakersfieldpd.us, Amanda Gonzales agonzales@bakersfieldpd.us, Melissa Roark mRoark@bakersfieldpd.us, Viridiana Gallardo-King vking@bakersfieldcity.us

RI

Sarah,

I believe these spreadsheets provide the information requested. I apologize for the delay, but we were having difficulty redacting out only the juvenile names from the Agg Assault Data.

As always, feel free to call me with any follow-up questions.

Thanks,

Richard L. Iger, Esq.
Deputy City Attorney
City of Bakersfield
1600 Truxtun Avenue, 4th Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301
(661) 326-3628
Fax (661) 852-2020

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail/transmission is intended to be sent only to the recipient stated. This e-mail/transmission is confidential and also may be legally privileged or protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine, and also may be restricted from disclosure by applicable state and federal law. Any copying, disclosure, distribution, review or use of this e-mail/transmission by other than the intended recipient or that person's agent is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail/transmission in error, please notify the sender, and immediately permanently delete or destroy this e-mail/transmission, and all copies thereof from any drives or storage media, and destroy any printouts of the e-mail/transmission. No attorney-client relationship is created by the act of sending or receiving this message outside of a written agreement.

From: Sarah Ryley [<mailto:sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 2:59 PM
To: Richard Iger
Cc: Robin Bice; Sean Campbell
Subject: Re: Response to RR from Sarah Ryley with The Trace Media re: data on homicides and non-fatal shootings 1/01/05 thru current

Thanks so much. Have a great night.

Sarah Ryley
Investigative + Data Reporter
The Trace I 347-324-8697
sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com

On Sep 25, 2017, at 5:57 PM, Richard Iger <riger@bakersfieldcity.us> wrote:

Thank you Sarah. I will pass this along to BPD, so they can begin working on it.

From: Sarah Ryley [<mailto:sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 2:42 PM
To: Richard Iger
Cc: Robin Bice; Sean Campbell
Subject: Re: Response to RR from Sarah Ryley with The Trace Media re: data on homicides and non-fatal shootings 1/01/05 thru current

Hi Richard.

Thank you so much for following up on this for us. And please thank the folks at BPD who have worked on this. We appreciate you answering all of our questions.

Here's a brief summary of our conversation that you could pass on to your data folks:

- **Rerun reports:** It seems like several crucial fields are missing from both the homicide and agg assault data, so you would need to rerun the reports in order for us to be sure our calculations are correct. These fields technically should have been included in our original request, since we asked for "all fields disclosable under the law."
- **All Agg Assaults:** I know this wasn't my original request, but if possible, when you rerun the agg assault data, we would like if you could rerun it to include all agg assaults, not just those committed with firearms. We're doing a nationwide analysis looking at the differences between violent crimes committed with guns and violent crimes committed with other weapons (for example, violent crimes committed with guns are generally much harder to solve ... we would need data on all violent crimes to say this). So it would be great if we could get everything.
- **UCR Code for Offense:** Hopefully, the system has a field that notates the official UCR/ California DOJ reporting code for each offense. In our case, all cases coded either 1 for homicide or 4 for agg assault. That way, we can use the official UCR reporting code field to ensure that we are classifying incidents accurately, and that our totals generally align with what has been reported elsewhere (acknowledging some variation as circumstances for some incidents change over time).
- **UCR Code for Persons Data:** We also hope that you have an official UCR/ California DOJ reporting code for the persons data, indicating whether the individual was reported as a victim of either a homicide or agg assault. This field would eliminate the issue of people reported as "victims" in homicide or shootings incidents who were merely bystanders, and people reported as victims under both categories.
- **Name redactions:** We would like to have all disclosable names if possible because we want to do an analysis of people who have been involved in multiple shooting incidents, as either the victim or suspect/ arrestee, to show how some groups of people are involved in multiple incidents. From your Report Writing Guide, it looks like DV and Sexual Assault victims are entered into a different type of report, so hopefully these names can be easily isolated and redacted to conform to confidentiality laws. We're mainly interested in the drug and gang related linkages.

<image001.png>

- **Additional questions re: Persons Data:** As noted, we are interested in doing an analysis of the linkage between people involved in multiple violent crimes. Does your system produce a Unique ID for an individual that would link that person to multiple incidents, regardless of whether the name was recorded differently for different incidents? The Report Writing Manual (page 29) shows a section for social security and drivers license numbers, which would be confidential, but I was wondering if you also have a computer-generated ID, or could generate one by performing the same function on all the SSN or DL numbers.
- **Other missing fields:** It sounds like some fields were included in one report but not the other. For the sake of consistency/ accuracy, we would ask that you include incident date, reported date, status, cleared, study flag transition, gang-involved, family violence, bias, and any other available field, for both homicides and agg assaults.
- **CAP Report:** You had said you would see if the CAP report could be rerun since it's missing many cases as a spreadsheet instead of a PDF. Hopefully if it's run to include all cases

many cases, as a replacement instead of a data reporting, it is easier to include an case classified as 1 (homicide) or 4 (agg assault), it will be an easier, less error-prone query.

- **Status Date:** We would like the date the status was changed in the data.
- **Submitting Officer/ Partner:** You had said this is not necessarily the investigator. It's just the officer who submitted the report, which could be a patrol officer who took the report at the scene. There should be a badge number in the system as well so we can distinguish between two people who have the same names.
- **Assigned Unit:** You said you would include this field in the new agg assault data if it exists.
- **Detective Assigned:** Page 86 of the Report Writing Manual indicates that there is a case management window that would have the investigator assigned to every case. Otherwise there would be no way to produce a queue. Therefore we'd ask that this information be provided.

<image002.png>

Thanks so much! I always appreciate your kindness in working with us on these requests.

Best,

Sarah Ryley
Investigative + Data Reporter
The Trace | 347-324-8697
sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com

On Sep 15, 2017, at 6:13 PM, Sarah Ryley <sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Richard,

I am writing to follow up on the data you provided me on homicides and non-fatal shootings. After a careful analysis, We've found differences between the number of incidents and victims in our data, in comparison to the numbers the Bakersfield Police Department has reported to the FBI and California Department of Justice. We also have some questions about other inconsistencies, missing information, and undefined codes. I have detailed everything below.

We will be using the data as part of a nationwide analysis on gun violence. I am still in the information-gathering stage, but we will be working with criminologists and law enforcement officials throughout the analysis and reporting process. We are just trying to ensure we have the best information available before we begin in order to facilitate the most accurate and insightful reporting on this very critical issue. So please bear with me — I know there are a lot of questions!

I've cc'd my colleague, Sean Campbell, who is working with me on this project. We've both looked through the data, so either one of us can discuss.

Thanks so much,

Sarah Ryley
347-324-8697

Sean Campbell
561-866-1295

CASE TOTALS:

The number of unique incidents and victims in the data provided in response to our public records request (“PRR”) do not match the number of incidents and cases the Bakersfield Police Department has reported for the FBI’s Supplemental Homicide Data (“FBI”) and the number of victims reported to the California Department of Justice (“CA DOJ”). We’ve summarized the differences below.

In order to ensure we have all incidents responsive to my request, we were hoping you could provide data for all incidents and victims reported to the CA DOJ as Part I (1-4) offenses under the UCR code (homicide, rape, robbery and aggravated assault), for all weapon types, marked with their classification for UCR purposes. This would be the best way to ensure the numbers from our analysis line up with the official numbers. This would also allow us to compare trends in violent crimes committed with firearms versus those committed with other weapons.

Summary of differences in Homicides

We counted the number of unique case numbers in the Homicide Report Data with the following offenses: Homicide, Homicide-Family-Gun, Homicide-Family-ID-Gun, Homicide-Nonfamily-Gun, Homicide-Nonfamily-ID Weapon, and Homicide-Willful Kill-Gun. We did not include the two police-involved categories, or the five vehicle-involved categories, to align with how homicides are reported in the FBI’s Supplemental Homicide Data.

For the victims, we counted the number of unique individuals with the role/ involvement listed as Deceased, Juv-Vic, Vic/Arr, Vict/Arrest, Vict/Offender, or Victim. We grouped the incidents by report date. We grouped the FBI’s supplemental data by incident date.

As you can see, we have more incidents and victims than has been reported to the FBI. Some spot-checking found incidents in the homicide data that did not result in a death, people classified as victims who may have just been bystanders, and people who were killed classified as something other than a victim or deceased. We were hoping you have a cleaned-up version of the data for UCR reporting purposes that would make it easier for us to accurately identify homicides, perpetrators and victims.

Year	PRR by unique cases	PRR by unique victim or deceased	FBI by unique cases	FBI by unique victim
2005	30	47	31	32
2006	23	41	24	24
2007	14	19	15	15
2008	26	29	24	25
2009	29	52	25	27
2010	31	40	33	33
2011	20	30	18	18
2012	32	48	33	34
2013	20	26	22	24
2014	23	27	17	17
2015	29	49	21	22
Totals	277	408	263	271
Diff w/FBI	14	137		

Summary of differences in Aggravated Assaults with Firearms:

My apologies for the following chart, but it gets a bit more complicated when we get to agg assaults with firearms! We looked at this in three different ways. First, there were 60 cases that appeared in both the agg assaults and homicide datasets. Those have been filtered out, since homicide would be the top charge. However, this doesn't address the issue of shootings in which some people died, and others survived. Any clarification on how this is treated in the data would be much appreciated.

We looked at the total number of incidents and victims in three different ways — with an “assault” offense, other than officer-involved; with a “robbery” offense; and then all offenses. For the sake of simplicity, the last column compares all offenses in the aggregate assault data that were not in the homicide data to the number of "agg assault with firearm" victims reported to the California Department of Justice. As you can see, there are differences in the totals.

It's also not clear to us when a robbery in our data has been counted as an agg assault, versus a robbery, in the state totals.

Year	PRR Agg Assaults by Case	PRR Agg Assaults by Vic	PRR Robberies by Case	PRR Robberies by Vic	PRR All Agg Assault Cases	PRR All Agg Assault Victims	CA DOJ Agg Assault w/ Firearms Vic	PRR All- CA DOJ
2005	132	205	7	13	163	248	234	14
2006	68	98	5	6	91	124	125	-1
2007	87	138	5	18	109	177	179	-2
2008	80	123	10	12	117	164	179	-15
2009	69	107	7	8	102	150	129	21
2010	87	135	10	14	132	188	172	16
2011	85	129	10	14	114	168	163	5
2012	89	143	9	15	127	196	166	30
2013	86	127	7	12	112	158	152	6
2014	77	126	4	7	104	160	195	-35
2015	101	151	11	21	138	199	201	-2
2016	134	205	9	10	179	258	261	-3
Totals	1095	1687	94	150	1,488	2,190	2156	34

OTHER INCONSISTENCIES AND MISSING INFORMATION:

Below are a few other inconsistencies we've noticed. We would be happy to send you exports of the specific cases we've identified under each header if that would be helpful. We understand the data from the case management system might be from initial reports and is later cleaned up or modified before reporting to the state and federal government. This is why we were hoping you could also send us the final CA DOJ/ FBI report data, with case number included. We could then use it for accuracy check purposes.

Weapon Type: There are 17 cases in the agg assault data that have a weapon type other than a firearm.

Persons Data: As previously mentioned, the “Persons” data has a lot of issues. For example, there are 38 cases that don't have anyone listed as a victim or deceased, four of which come from the homicide data. And there are 96 people with no role/ involvement type listed, 20 of which come from the agg assault

with no role/ involvement type listed, 20 of which come from the agg assault data. We were also hoping to get some clarification on why each category of the 32 “role/ involvement” categories would be used.

Victim Name: There were at least 1,707 people listed as victims in the aggravated assault data, and one person in the homicide data, with at least 19 years between the incident and their year of birth — meaning they were not minors when the incident occurred. The name and age of all victims must be disclosed under CPRA, with the following exceptions: “The name of a victim of any crime defined by Section 220, 261, 261.5, 262, 264, 264.1, 273a, 273d, 273.5, 286, 288, 288a, 289, 422.6, 422.7, 422.75, or 646.9 of the Penal Code may be withheld at the victim’s request, or at the request of the victim’s parent or guardian if the victim is a minor.”

Arrestees: I was not provided some important information on arrestees, particularly, for my purposes the date of arrest. CPRA requires the disclosure of the following information on arrestees: “The full name and occupation of every individual arrested by the agency, the individual’s physical description including date of birth, color of eyes and hair, sex, height and weight, the time and date of arrest, the time and date of booking, the location of the arrest, the factual circumstances surrounding the arrest, the amount of bail set, the time and manner of release or the location where the individual is currently being held, and all charges the individual is being held upon, including any outstanding warrants from other jurisdictions and parole or probation holds.”

Status Date: It would be helpful if the data contained the last date of status change. We’re interested in examining how and why violent crimes committed with guns take more time and manpower to clear, but can only quantify if we have that date.

Status and Arrestees: There are also inconsistencies between the status in the “Report” data and the role/ involvement in the “Persons” data. Below is a summary of the cases by status, and how many of those cases have at least one record in the “Persons” data with the role/ involvement containing “ARR”.

As you can see, there are more than a dozen cases marked as “Open/ Active” or “Inactive” with an arrestee, a handful marked as “Detective Arrest” and “Patrol Arrest” with no arrestee, and then about 829 marked as “Closed” with no arrestee. We know arrests can be fluid. But most significantly, we’d just like some more guidance on the “closed” status so we’re able to accurately distinguish between cleared by arrest and exceptional clearances.

Status	Role Contains "ARR"	Total Cases	Total-True
Null	1	2	
Closed	61	829	768
Cold Case Homicide	6	73	67
DA Reject	19	31	12
Detective Arrest	260	264	4
Exceptional	5	35	30
Inactive	2	5	3
Open/Active	12	54	42
Other Agency Arrest	0	2	2
Patrol Arrest	268	271	3
Submitted For Complaint	25	40	15
Unfounded	8	39	31
Warrant Obtained	1	4	3

Grand Total	668	1,647	979
-------------	-----	-------	-----

Status: We’ve also found some inconsistencies between the “Status” and “Cleared” fields in the report data. Any guidance for accuracy would be much appreciated. The “Cleared” field was not included in the agg assault data, so the below summary only pertains to the homicide data. Can we get the “cleared” field for the agg assault data?

Status (group)	Cleared	
	N	Y
Cold Case Homicide	93	19
Detective Arrest, Other Agency Arrest, Patrol Arrest	4	172
Unfounded	9	29
Closed	4	1
Da Reject & Exceptional	1	27
Submitted For Complaint & Warrant Obtained	1	5

C-CAP Data: We converted the CAP document into a spreadsheet and joined the case number in the “Related Event” column with a case number we created from the Report data. We only used incidents reported starting in 2008. About two-thirds of those incidents did not join with the CAP data. Below is a summary, broken down by “Status” in the report data. I’m not sure if the missing cases were due to a querying error, but if the additional information is available, we’d love if you could send this as data (versus a PDF) so we can ensure that there are no conversion errors preventing a join.

Dataset	Report Status	CCAP_Internal Status (group) 1		
		Doesn't Join	Does Join	Total
AggAssault	Closed	533	68	601
	Cold Case Homicide	13	1	14
	DA reject	16	4	20
	Detective Arrest	27	84	111
	Exceptional	2	12	14
	Inactive	1		1
	Open/Active	20		20
	Other Agency Arrest	2		2
	Patrol Arrest	114	81	195
	Submitted for Complaint	22	7	29
	Unfounded	2	2	4
	Warrant obtained		2	2
Homicide	Closed	4		4
	Cold Case Homicide	45	7	52
	DA reject	2	5	7
	Detective Arrest	17	110	127
	Exceptional		10	10
	Inactive	1		1
	Open/Active	36	2	38
	Patrol Arrest	12	6	18
	Submitted for Complaint	2	3	5
	Unfounded	13	21	34
Grand Total	Total	859	405	1,264

QUESTIONS ABOUT FIELDS AND CODES:

QUESTIONS ABOUT FIELDS AND CODES:

Study_Flag Translation: What is the “study_flag translation” field in the aggravated assault report data and why isn’t there a corresponding field in the homicide report data?

Assigned Unit: For the agg assault data, is there a field for the “assigned unit” in your case management system? If so, can it be included?

Case Number v Report Number: Just to confirm: Some of the files use a field called “Case Number” and others use a field called “Report Number.” Are they the same thing — namely a unique identifier for each incident?

Date: The “Homicide Report Data” has a field called, “Date of Incident” all of the other files sent have a field called “Report Date.” Are these fields describing the same thing, or is one a reference to when the event happened and the other to when the incident was reported? If they are different, can we have both fields in all files?

Submitting Officer: Is the submitting officer in the report data the lead detective or the responding officer who submitted the initial incident report? We had requested information on the detectives assigned to the case.

Status: From what date is the clearance status accurate? From the date the data was generated for my request, or does it stop getting updated at some point for older cases? I know some systems automatically change the status when an arrest is entered. We just need to know so we can make note of any margins of error in our analysis.

Race Codes: We were not given the definitions for the Race and Ethnicity Codes. We’re assuming they generally align with the codes used by the CA DOJ, Los Angeles, and other agencies in the state. But there are two — E and M — that aren’t defined and appear frequently in your data. Please review and let us know if this is correct, and define E and M.

Baker Codes	CA DOJ Codes	CA DOJ Definitions
A	A	Other Asian
B	B	Black
C	C	Chinese
D	D	Cambodian
E		
F	F	Filipino
G	G	Guamanian
H	H	Hispanic/Latin/Mexican
I	I	American Indian/Alaskan Native
J	J	Japanese
K	K	Korean
L	L	Laotian
M		
O	O	Other
P	P	Pacific Islander
S	S	Samoan
U	U	Hawaiian
V	V	Vietnamese
W	W	White
X	X	Unknown
Z	Z	Asian Indian

That's it! Thank you so much for your help!

On Jul 26, 2017, at 4:17 PM, Sarah Ryley
<sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you, that helps!

Sarah Ryley
Investigative + Data Reporter
The Trace | 347-324-8697
sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com

On Jul 26, 2017, at 4:16 PM, Richard Iger
<riger@bakersfieldcity.us> wrote:

Sarah,

In regards to the IBR column, the following is the information
I received from BPD:

“That is the Incident-Based Reporting Status. It lets
detectives define their Clearance Status when clearing cases
“Exceptionally.” So if a report is not cleared exceptionally,
that usually means it was straightforward, an arrest was
most likely made, and it will be listed as not applicable. If
it is cleared exceptionally, they’ll use the IBR Status table to
further define why.”

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Richard

From: Sarah Ryley [<mailto:sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2017 1:24 AM
To: Robin Bice
Subject: Re: Response to RR from Sarah Ryley with The Trace
Media re: data on homicides and non-fatal shootings 1/01/05
thru current

Hi Robin,

I just got a chance to look through this data, and I realize
that there are a lot of abbreviations in the data that have
not been defined for me.

- In the Ag Assault Report data, the “case status” and
“gang involvement” fields

- In the As Assault Offense Data and Homicide Offense
Data, the “criminal activity” and “weapon type” fields

- In the Homicide Report Data, the “case status”, “assigned unit”, and “gang involvement” fields

Please note that in my request, I asked for a data dictionary in order to define any abbreviations that are not easily ascertainable. If you could please send me the data dictionary responsive to my request, I would really appreciate it.

Also, I'm not exactly sure how the CAP Case Clearance PDF relates to the data that you sent me. I searched some of the Report Numbers from the spreadsheet and did not find them in the PDF so I'm not sure how I would join them together. Could you please clarify for me?

Many thanks,

Sarah Ryley
[The Trace Media](#)
Cell: 347-324-8697
sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com

On May 24, 2017, at 11:26 AM, Robin Bice
<rbice@bakersfieldcity.us> wrote:

Good Morning:

Attached you will find requested records regarding data on homicides and non-fatal shootings in Bakersfield from 1/01/05 thru current

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Regards,

Robin L. Bice
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
1600 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301
(661) 326-3029



City Clerk's Office
1600 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield CA 93301

Office: (661) 326-3767
Fax: (661) 323-3780

**City of Bakersfield
Records Request**

NAME:

Sarah L Ryley

ADDRESS:

112 Berkeley Pl, 3R

CITY: Brooklyn

STATE: NY

EMAIL:

sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com

PHONE:

3473248697

I AM REQUESTING TO:

Obtain copy of record

**PLEASE BE SPECIFIC IN YOUR
REQUEST. INCLUDE DATES,
TIMES, and LOCATIONS.**

DESCRIPTION:

To whom it may concern:

Under the California Public
Records Act, I am requesting
data, in .csv, .xls, or .xlsx
format, pertaining to
homicides and non-fatal
shootings that have been
handled by the Bakersfield
Police Department from Jan.
1, 2005 through the date in
which this request is filled.

This data should include all
fields disclosable under the
law, including but not limited
to: - A unique identifier for
the incident (i.e. incident ID) -
Date of the incident - Name(s)
of the victim(s) - Date of
Birth of the victim(s) - Race
of the victim(s) - Ethnicity of
the victim(s) (i.e. Hispanic or
Non-Hispanic) - Gender of
the victim(s) - Address or
location of the incident -
Weapon used - Any other data
pertaining to the
circumstances surrounding the
incident (i.e., motive, whether
it was suspected gang or drug-
related) - Status of the
investigation (i.e., whether a
suspect has been identified
and an arrest made) - Date of
arrest - Name(s) of suspect(s)
- Date of Birth of the

suspect(s) - Race of the
suspect(s) - Ethnicity of the
suspect(s) (i.e. Hispanic or
Non-Hispanic) - Gender of
the suspect(s) - Responding
officer - Detective(s) assigned
to the case - Days
investigation was active (i.e.
Not a cold case) - Number of
responding officers - Number
of detectives assigned to the
case - I am also requesting
any data dictionaries, glossary
of terms, record layouts, etc.
pertaining to the above
database(s), that describe the
database and define the fields
and codes used in the
database. If one or more of the
fields I requested above is not
available in the Bakersfield
Police Department's
database(s), please notify me
via email and I will strike that
field from my request. I am
merely asking for all
disclosable fields maintained
in the Bakersfield Police
Department's database(s) that
track homicide and non-fatal
shooting investigations. If
there are fields that I did not
request, but that are still
disclosable under the
California Public Records
Act, my request asks that you
include those fields as well. I
would like to receive this
information in digital,
spreadsheet form, which I am
entitled to under section Cal.
Gov't Code § 6253.9(a): If the
information requested is in an
electronic format the agency
shall make that information
available in any electronic
format in which it holds the
information or, if requested, in
a format used by the agency
to create copies for its own
use or for provision to other
agencies. As a member of the
media reporting on matters in
the public interest, I am
requesting a fee waiver and an
expediting of my request.
Since I am requesting records

in their original electronic format whenever possible, I expect the costs, if any, to be limited. Under Cal. Gov't Code § 6253.9(a)(1)&(2), if records are produced in an electronic format in which the agency holds the information, or in a format used by the agency to create copies for its own use or for provision to other agencies, the cost of the record is limited to the direct cost of duplication. The California Public Records Act requires a response within 10 business days, and that I be "promptly" provided non-exempt public records. If you deny any portion of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law. I am requesting that communication related to this request be conducted via email. I am also requesting that this request be filled via email. Thank you for considering my request. Sincerely, Sarah Ryley
Reporter, The Trace Media
112 Berkeley Pl. #3R
Brooklyn, NY 11217 Cell:
347-324-8697 sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com
[m](mailto:sarahryleyfoi@gmail.com)

Your request will be processed in compliance with the public records act pursuant to California Government Code Section 6250. You will be contacted when the information is ready.

DATE:
05/16/2017

CITY DEPARTMENT USE ONLY
SUBMITTED BY:
DEPARTMENT:

<CAP Case Clearances 2005-Present.pdf>
<Homicide Offense Data.xlsx><Homicide
Report Data.xlsx><Homicide Person
data.xlsx><Ag Assault Offense Data.xlsx>

data.xlsx~Ag Assault Offense Data.xlsx~
<Ag Assault Report data.xlsx><Copy of Ag
Assault Person Data.xlsx>



Homicide
Firearm Data.xlsx



Agg Assault
Report Data.xlsx



CAP Detective
Follow-up List.pdf